Dear Jim, 7/7/75

Afterthought on Archives withholding of 1972-3 declassification.

When I (mentally) subtract what I've gotten from the documents declassified after the 1972-3 declassification from the total number I received it appears impossible that I've gotten even an appreciable part of what was let out in those years or that outing.

I still have not gotten a list.

(Should I ask for that under the Act, too?)

It appears to me that despite the cost I'd best order every paper then released and go over them when I can.

This may mean $100, $150 or more but I'd best do it.

Too many others have missed too much, too many have their own hangups and political blind spots.

Besides, I'm going to have to compare what was just declassified out of logical sequence with the past declassifications, particularly the out-of-order one of 1972-3.

It surely is an odd switch but I may wind up suing and defending the CIA at the same time unless there is to be another whitewash.

Speaking of suing, when are we going to perfect the administrative-appeal situation so we can file? I think it should be soon as possible. We should have before this.

If you can't find time tell me to whom and I will.

I have now had time to go over the last of the longer documents I received. It provides still a new basis for going back to Rhoads. However, I think I'll wait on the chance I can do more than make a casual examination. The table of contents was my guide in this skimming but looking at each page should provide more.

Somebody physically removed the CIA part. I mean didn't even replace the page number eliminated, cut part of one page out and stapled what was not CIA back on. And the subject: Liaison for the protection of the President!

With <u>this</u> the subject <u>every word</u> removed!

And when their only candidate for assassin had a CIA record!

Best,